

Notification of Interest Parties.

This notice serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This administrative review is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: July 30, 1999.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 99-20337 Filed 8-3-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**International Trade Administration**

[A-201-504]

Porcelain-on-Steel Cookware From Mexico: Notice of Panel Decision and Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review in Accordance With Decision Upon Remand

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of panel decision and amendment to final results of antidumping duty administrative review in accordance with decision upon remand.

SUMMARY: As a result of a remand from a Binational Panel, convened pursuant to the North American Free Trade Agreement, the Department of Commerce is amending its final results in the ninth antidumping duty administrative review of Porcelain-on-Steel Cookware from Mexico (December 1, 1994–November 30, 1995). The Department of Commerce has determined, in accordance with the instruction of the Binational Panel, the dumping margin for entries of porcelain-on-steel cookware from Mexico produced by Esmaltaciones de Norte America, S.A. de C.V. to be 16.97 percent. The margin for Cinsa, S.A. de C.V. is not affected by this remand.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 6, 1999.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Katherine Johnson or David J.

Goldberger, Office 2, AD/CVD Enforcement Group I, Import Administration, Room B099, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-4929, or 482-4136, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Background**

On August 7, 1997, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the **Federal Register** (62 FR 42496) the final results of antidumping duty administrative review for Porcelain-on-Steel Cookware from Mexico. Subsequent to the final results, Columbian Home Products (the petitioner), Cinsa, S.A. de C.V. (Cinsa) and Esmaltaciones de Norte America, S.A. de C.V. (ENASA) challenged the Department's findings and requested that the Binational Panel (the Panel) review the final results.

Thereafter, the Panel remanded the Department's final results with respect to one issue—whether the Department should utilize the indirect selling expense ratio submitted by Yamaka China (Yamaka) in determining Yamaka's indirect selling expenses on its sales of porcelain-on-steel cookware produced by ENASA. Specifically, the Panel directed the Department (1) to determine, after addressing both the petitioner's ministerial error letter and Cinsa's submission opposing the petitioner's letter, whether the Department did in fact make a ministerial error; (2) if it did, to correct the error, and (3) in making any correction, to consider comments from the parties on the proper calculation, specifically address those comments in its remand determination, and explain the basis for the correction in detail.¹

We have determined that the use of an indirect selling expense ratio for affiliated importer Global Imports, Inc., rather than the indirect selling expense ratio for affiliated importer and reseller Yamaka in calculating the margin for Yamaka's sales of porcelain-on-steel cookware produced by ENASA, was in fact a ministerial error and have, therefore, corrected that error. The Department submitted its remand determination on June 4, 1999.

On July 20, 1999, the Panel affirmed the remand determination of the Department. (See Porcelain-on-Steel Cookware from Mexico (9th

¹ For a complete discussion of the Department's reasoning in the selection of an indirect selling expense ratio, see Redetermination on Remand: Certain Porcelain-on-Steel Cookware from Mexico: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review (June 3, 1999).

Administrative Review), USA-97-1904-07 (Final Panel Order).) As a result, the margin for ENASA increased from 2.74 to 16.97 percent. The margin for Cinsa is not affected by this remand because the sales through Yamaka consisted solely of ENASA-produced merchandise. Because the Department has since concluded additional administrative reviews, the cash deposit rate for ENASA remains that established by the most recently completed administrative review. The Department will issue appraisement instructions directly to the Customs Service.

This amendment to the final results of antidumping duty administrative review notice is in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)), and 19 CFR 351.221.

Dated: July 30, 1999.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 99-20342 Filed 8-5-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**International Trade Administration**

[A-570-825]

Sebacic Acid From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of preliminary results of antidumping duty administrative review of Sebacic Acid from the People's Republic of China.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on sebacic acid from the People's Republic of China in response to requests from the petitioner, Union Camp Corporation, and the following three respondents: Tianjin Chemicals Import and Export Corporation, Guangdong Chemicals Import and Export Corporation, and Sinochem International Chemicals Company, Ltd. In addition to these three respondents, the petitioner also requested a review of Sinochem Jiangsu Import and Export Corporation. This review covers four exporters of the subject merchandise. The period of review is July 1, 1997, through June 30, 1998.

We preliminarily determine that sales have been made below normal value. Interested parties are invited to